Case 5:09-cr-00747-EJD Document 12 Filed 07/15/09 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF A	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR- 59-mi</u> -	786 18 PV
V. DOLLARA A	Uj'19 - Hopen, Defendant.	-	
- cogorao j.	Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING 1	<u>TRIAL</u>
In accordance with the F	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detent	ion hearing was held on	nt was
present, represented by I	his attorney M. (Thauld). The United Sta	ites was represented by Assistant II S. Attorney	"S Qut
PART I. PRESUMPTION	S APPLICABLE	The representation of Physicallic C.S. Attorney	<u>Jaus</u> <u>C</u>
/ / The defend	ant is charged with an offense described in 18	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been	
of a prior offense describ	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release	pending trial for a federal, state or local offense,	convicted
period of not more than	five (5) years has elapsed since the date of con	viction or the release of the person from imprison	and a
whichever is later.	conformation of the confor	viction of the release of the person from imprisor	nment,
	a rebuttable presumption that no condition or	combination of conditions will reasonably assur-	
of any other person and t	the community	comonation of conditions will reasonably assure	e the safety
		facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the o	1.6
has committed an offense	2		
A. X	for which a maximum term of imprisonment	of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §	
	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., C	of to years of more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §	ED
В.	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm du		
	a rehuttable presumption that no condition or	combination of conditions will reasonably assure	5 2000
annearance of the defend	ant as required and the safety of the communit	combination of conditions will reasonably assure	emeuuy
/ / No presump		y. Norti,	112
	PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	NOTH:	CURT
The defenda	int has not come forward with sufficient evide	nce to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and h	US: STIVIA
therefore will be ordered	detained.	nee to resut the applicable presumption[s], and n	ie
		e applicable presumption[s] to wit:	
		supplies of productipation[5] to wit.	
	of proof shifts back to the United States.		*
	RE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICA		
The United S	States has proved to a preponderance of the ev	idence that no condition or combination of condi	itions will
	earance of the defendant as required, AND/OF		
/ / The United S	States has proved by clear and convincing evid	ence that no condition or combination of conditi	ions will
	ty of any other person and the community.		
	DINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASON		
⊈ The Court ha	as taken into account the factors set out in 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submi	itted at
		rold in a complaint w/a Ve	slaten
07 21 USC Dec	tung 846, 841(a)(1) and	841(b) (1)(A) (vici) , This a	Ulendan
along with a	to-defendent met with to	a Centidential source and a	noducial
bag to the CI	which antouned methan	Shelamine This defendant	tis undocu
with no detainer	has been placed against his	1. He has only been in the 4	1.5. Fu 2w
/ / Defendant, hi	s attorney, and the AUSA have waived written	findings.	—————
PART V. DIRECTIONS RE			
		or his designated representative for confinement	
corrections facility separate to	the extent practicable from persons awaiting	or serving sentences or being held in custody pen	iding appeal.
		ation with defense counsel. On order of a court of	
Inited States or on the reques	t of an attorney for the Government, the person	n in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver	r the
	Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in c		
1/1	(λ)	A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A =	
Dated: 7/15/09	\ / / / / / /	1 1 1/2 A 1/2 1/1/	
* / () / () /	Jour	a. Vumlled	

United States Magistrate Judge